UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,399	08/19/2003	Francis X. McKeen	42P15739	7924
8791 7590 12/22/2008 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYYALE CA 04085 4040			EXAMINER	
			GEIB, BENJAMIN P	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/644,399	MCKEEN, FRANCIS X.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BENJAMIN P. GEIB	2181	

The amendment document filed on 06 October 2008 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the ite

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO B 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:			
B. Other				
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replaceme "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminal showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR C. Other 	ated. Replacement drawings			
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (inclu ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and a of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Curre (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn) ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	as such, the individual status t be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), wn-currently amended).			
☐ 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):				
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.				
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.				
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	amendment is a non-final			
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary a				
amendment.				

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The examiner is unable to determine the nature of various amendments to the claims. In claims 1 (line 14), 9 (line 2), and 19 (lines 4 and 12) it appears to the examiner that the applicant may have intended to delete material from the claim. However, the examiner is unable to clearly discern whether or not the material has strikethrough. Since strikethrough of the material cannot be easily perceived, double brackets should be used if deletion is intended.

The examiner notes the Revised Amendment Practice Rules, which state that "The changes in any amended claim must be shown by underlining (for added matter) or strikethrough (for deleted matter) with 2 exceptions: (1) for deletion of five characters of fewer, double brackets may be used (e.g., [[error]]); and (2) if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived (e.g., deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (e.g., [[4]])"..